THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON KIMBERLY BOTTOMS, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, 8 NO. 2:23-cv-01969-MJP Plaintiff. 9 STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO v. 10 CONTINUE CONSIDERATION OF BLOCK, INC. (F/K/A, SQUARE, INC.) SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION 11 (D/B/A, CASH APP), PENDING MEDIATION 12 Defendant. NOTED FOR CONSIDERATION: JANUARY 31, 2025 13 Ī. STPULATED MOTION 14 15 Plaintiff Kimberly Bottoms and Defendant Block, Inc. respectfully request that the Court 16 stay consideration of all matters raised in Block's motion for summary judgment on Plaintiff's 17 individual claims (Dkt. 40) to allow the parties to complete mediation before the Court takes Block's motion for summary judgment under consideration. This motion is based on the 18 19 following: 20 1. The Court entered a scheduling order in this matter on August 2, 2024. Dkt. 27. 21 The Court adopted a phased case schedule allowing for discovery into Plaintiff's individual 22 claims and potentially dispositive motions as to those individual claims before broader discovery 23 was to be initiated. 2. Block filed its motion for summary judgment as to Plaintiffs individual claims on 24 25 October 23, 2024. Dkt. 40. On November 1, 2024, the Court certified to the Attorney General of 26 Washington that a statute had been challenged and stayed consideration of Block's motion for 27 sixty days to allow the Attorney General time to move to intervene. Dkt. 43. The Attorney STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CONSIDERATION OF SUMMARY TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP PLLC JUDGMENT MOTION 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 Seattle, Washington 98103-8869 CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01969-MJP - 1

TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com

1

7

10

1415

1617

18

19

20

2122

2324

2526

27

General has now intervened (Dkts. 50-51), Plaintiff and the Attorney General have filed their response briefs (Dkts. 57, 60), and Block has filed its reply (Dkt. 68). The motion is noted for consideration on January 28, 2025. Dkt. 53.

- 3. The parties have agreed to mediate with Jill R. Sperber of Judicate West. The parties agreed to mediate at the end of January or early February, but given the parties' and the mediator's availability, the in-person mediation is scheduled to take place on March 18, 2025.
- 4. The parties agree that mediation will have the greatest chance for success if it takes place under the status quo—that is, without a ruling on Block's motion for summary judgment as to Plaintiff's individual claims and while the motion for summary judgment is still pending. The parties further agree that uncertainty regarding the outcome of the motion for summary judgment will create the best opportunity for the parties to settle now, rather than at a different stage in the case. Having the motion fully briefed will also allow the parties and mediator to better assess the evidence and the strength of their respective positions.
- 5. The parties therefore jointly request that the Court defer consideration of Block's motion for summary judgment until after the parties mediate on March 18, 2025. By informing the Court of the upcoming mediation and proposing to postpone the Court's consideration of Block's motion for a short time, the parties also seek to preserve the Court's resources in the event the parties determine to settle the case.
 - 6. The parties respectfully propose the following schedule:

EVENT	CURRENT DATE	PROPOSED DATE
Private mediation with Jill Sperber	N/A	March 18, 2025
Consideration date for Defendant's motion for summary judgment regarding named plaintiff	January 28, 2025	March 28, 2025

7. The parties will also be filing a request for the Court to extend other case deadlines and the trial date. The parties are filing their request pursuant to the expedited

TEL. 206.816.6603 • FAX 206.319.5450 www.terrellmarshall.com

1 procedure described in Local Civil Rule 37(a)(2)(I) because they have not been able to agree to a 2 proposed schedule for resolving the case if Block's summary judgment motion is denied. 3 DATED this 31st day of February, 2025. 4 TERRELL MARSHALL LAW GROUP DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 5 **PLLC** 6 By: /s/ Jennifer Rust Murray By: /s/ Lauren B. Rainwater Beth E. Terrell, WSBA #26759 Kenneth E. Payson, WSBA #26369 7 Email: bterrell@terrellmarshall.com Email: kenpayson@dwt.com 8 Jennifer Rust Murray, WSBA #36983 Lauren B. Rainwater, WSBA #43625 Email: jmurray@terrellmarshall.com Email: laurenrainwater@dwt.com 9 Eden B. Nordby, WSBA #58654 Theo A. Lesczynski, WSBA #59780 Email: enordby@terrellmarshall.com Email: theolesczynski@dwt.com 10 936 North 34th Street, Suite 300 920 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, Washington 98103 Seattle, WA 98104-1610 11 Telephone: (206) 816-6603 Telephone: (206) 622-3150 Facsimile: (206) 757-7016 12 Sophia M. Rios, Admitted Pro Hac Vice 13 Email: srios@bm.net Attorneys for Block, Inc. BERGER MONTAGUE PC 14 8241 La Mesa Blvd., Suite A La Mesa, California 91942 15 Telephone: (619) 489-0300 16 E. Michelle Drake, Admitted Pro Hac Vice 17 Email: emdrake@bm.net BERGER MONTAGUE PC 18 43 SE Main Street, Suite 505 Minneapolis, MN 55414 19 Telephone: (612) 594-5933 20 Attorneys for Plaintiff 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CONSIDERATION OF SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01969-MJP - 3

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER		
2	The Court GRANTS the Parties' Stipulated Motion to Continue Consideration of		
3	Summary Judgment Motion Pending Mediation. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS the Clerk to		
4	renote Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment on Plaintiff's Individual Claims, Dkt. 40, to		
5	March 28, 2025.		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
7			
8	DATED this 7th day of February, 2025.		
9			
10	γ_{\bullet} , M		
11	Marshy Helens		
12	MARSHA J. PECHMAN		
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23			
25			
26			
27			
- /	STIPULATED MOTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE CONSIDERATION OF SUMMARY		

JUDGMENT MOTION CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01969-MJP - 4